

MEETING #7 – February 28

At a Regular Meeting of the Madison County Board of Supervisors on February 28, 2017 at 6:00 p.m. in the Madison County Administrative Center Auditorium located at 414 N. Main Street:

PRESENT: R. Clay Jackson, Chairman
Jonathon Weakley, Vice-Chairman
Kevin McGhee, Member
Robert Campbell, Member
Charlotte Hoffman, Member
Daniel J. Campbell, County Administrator
V. R. Shackelford, County Attorney
Mary Jane Costello, Asst. County Administrator/Finance Director
Jacqueline S. Frye, Deputy Clerk

Agenda Items:

Call to Order

Pledge of Allegiance & Moment of Silence

1. Determine Presence of a Quorum/Adopt Agenda:

Chairman Jackson noted that all members are present; a quorum was established.

Chairman Jackson called for any additions to today's Agenda:

Additions:

- *Item 6c: Supplemental Appropriations for the Sheriff's Department*

Supervisor McGhee moved the Board adopt today's Agenda as amended, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

2. Public Comment:

Chairman Jackson opened the floor for public comment.

The following individual(s) provided comment(s):

- ✓ Bob Chappell (School Board): Comments focused on high school boys' basketball team (i.e. State championship game on Friday at 2:30 p.m. followed by additional game on Saturday [if applicable]); school board work session scheduled on Thursday; final vote on Superintendent's proposed school budget on March 13th; advised of teacher salary comparison report; report will be provided pertaining to salaries for coaches; forensics team placed 1st in regional competition; swimming team attained championship status.
- *Chairman Jackson: Advised that a report on teacher salaries has been received from the Superintendent*

With no public comment being brought forth, the public comment session was closed.

3. Constitutional Officers: None.

4. County Departments:

a. EMS – Analysis of Response Times – Lewis Jenkins, EMS Director: Lewis Jenkins, EMS Director, was present to advise of the procedures developed by the Emergency Response Agency that require the EMS Department to report (to the locality) an analysis of response time for all emergency calls. Response time numbers are based on 'from the time the phone rings (at the E911 Dispatch Center) to the time an ambulance reaches its destination.' About ninety percent (90%) of the time, the aforementioned task was accomplished within seventeen (17) minutes, with the additional ten percent (10%) of the time being more due to stand-by circumstances at the Sheriff's Office, fire, distance and/or weather conditions. Response times in 2015 reflect a ninety percent (90%) rate, which he feels can be accredited to the hiring of additional staff. In 2016, response times have been about ninety-four percent (94%). In closing, he advised that response time numbers are required to be tracked annually and reported to the Board of Supervisors for information and advisement.

Chairman Jackson thanked Mr. Jenkins (and the EMS staff) for their hard work.

b. Purchase of Ambulance – Timeline & Contract: Lewis Jenkins, EMS Director, deferred the initial discussion to the County Attorney.

The County Attorney noted that he did review the formal agreement; comments/concerns have been addressed and assessed. In closing, he advised that (based on his review with the County Administrator), the County is on course, with the exception of a few minor details that will need to be assessed.

The County Administrator advised the following issues of concern:

- ✓ Time of payment
- ✓ Vendor is requesting that a County representative visit the New York site
- ✓ Whether the requested visit would pose a problem

Mr. Jenkins further advised that within the “agreement changes, the contract states that:

“Within seven (7) business days of receipt of a change order, RedStorm will inform the Purchaser in writing of the feasibility of the Change Order, the earliest possible implementation date for the Change Order, of any increase or decrease in the Purchase Price resulting from such Change Order, and of any effect on production scheduling or Delivery resulting from such Change Order.....”

And feels the within the seven (7) day period, the County will know exactly when the unit will be ready and will already have a number of ‘invoice days’ before the aforementioned time frame is complete. He also noted that the “seven (7) day” stipulation is included to prevent the vendor from saying the unit will be ready on a specific day that the County may not be ready or willing to accept the unit. It was also noted that once the vendor has the unit in their factory, the County will be advised of exactly when the unit will be ready. In closing, he advised that he will request an invoice (for the County) as information is provided (from the vendor) during the assembly of the ambulance unit; he will also be notified (by the vendor) when a flight to New York will be necessary (i.e. can be within thirty [30] days out)).

The County Attorney questioned if a thorough inspection can be made at the New York site to justify the County making full payment; he also noted (based on the agreement) that the manufacturer wouldn’t start the manufacturing process until the chassis is made available.

Mr. Jenkins also noted that once it’s determined that the unit has been built to conform to the required specifications, expertise will be sought from his department and other outside sources to assess the equipment. He also noted that:

The manufacturer will need approval from the County

The County Administrator will then authorize the EMS Director to sign the agreement (which will be forwarded)

The Mercedes Dealer will be contacted to indicate whether the chassis is still available or not

Would like to meet the deadline (only two [2] 2017 chassis are still available at this time)

When the two (2) available chassis are gone, it’s uncertain when (or if) any more will be made available

Also, based on his relationship with the State Agency, he feels comfortable that the process will move forward without incident. Emphasis was also made on the deadline for today’s contract (2/28/17) vs. the County’s budgetary deadline (7/1/17), and the desire to use funding noted within the current fiscal year’s budget. In closing, he feels if the ambulance can be attained sooner, this will increase the County’s ability to have the unit in place and fully operational.

The County Attorney noted that the price for the unit is \$149,419.00. If the Board is comfortable with the pricing, he will move forward with getting the final agreement in order.

Mr. Jenkins noted that the price approved (in the grant) was \$153,000.00, and that the unit is actually being purchased for less than the approved grant funding amount (payment will be 50/50 of \$149,419.00).

Comments from the Board:

- *Supervisor Campbell: Noted that the agreement being presented shows a deadline of 2/28/17*

Mr. Jenkins advised that the vendor would like the agreement to be signed today; however, the representative is aware that the County is working on getting the agreement approved. He also advised that the vendor is well aware of the fact that agencies have to work to get all appropriate documentation and funding in place. In closing, he noted that if the agreement isn’t approved today, the grant funding will not disappear, although he it would be best and prefers that the Board approve the agreement at today’s session.

The County Administrator noted that Mr. Jenkins has relayed concerns to the representative, and he feels that the vendor is doing everything possible to assure prompt performance. In closing, he noted in some instances, these types of purchase requests can drag on for months. He feels the County will make the applicable deadlines noted.

- *Supervisor Weakley: Noted that the proposed ambulance is a four-wheel drive vehicle; will be more versatile*

Supervisor Campbell moved the Board approve the purchase agreement (with RedStorm Fire & Rescue Apparatus, Inc.) as presented, seconded by Supervisor McGhee. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

- *Supervisor Campbell: Questioned the effect today's proposed purchase will have (on the County) in the next five (5) years.*

Mr. Jenkins advised that (based on his opinion), an additional ambulance will be needed five (5) years from now, depending on the amount of use the new and existing units will endure; he also noted that another response vehicle currently has very high mileage; anticipates that two (2) new vehicles will be needed within the next five (5) year period (i.e. ambulance/response vehicle. In closing, he advised that the future purchase will depend on grant availability and the amount of fundraising provided by the rescue squad.

- *Chairman Jackson: Advised that the need for an additional ambulance is an asset when additional calls are received; feels the emergency vehicle fleet is continuously rolling; annually purchases are similar to the process in place for the Sheriff's Office*

It was also explained that any funding raised by the volunteers goes into their building fund; any funds collected by the County is allocated into the County's general fund.

Mr. Jenkins advised that the EMS Department had its first pharmacy inspection with no corrective actions being needed. In closing, he explained that as the EMS Director, he is licensed to be responsible for the department's licensed stock of medications.

The County Administrator stressed the fact that having licensed County staff is an important factor and carries great responsibility. In closing, he will meet with Mr. Jenkins in the morning to incorporate a few details to the proposed purchase agreement.

5. Committees or Organizations Reports: None.

6. Finance

a. February 2017 Claims

\$32,668.15 (2'24'17)

\$21,030.80 (2'28'17)

\$ 2,978.54 (EFT)

\$56,677.29 (Total)

The Finance Director advised that:

- ✓ No disbursements in proposed claims exceeded \$5,000.00
- ✓ County's line of credit is now \$3,400.00 over the annual budgeted amount (supplemental appropriation will be needed); and suggested
- ✓ The County assess future interest rates

The County Administrator advised that the line of credit is for the loan pertaining to the school system; noted that the County will begin paying on the principal amount in July/August 2017.

Supervisor McGhee moved the Board approve claims for February 2017 and the EFT (electronic funds transfer) totaling \$56,677.29, seconded by Supervisor Weakley. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

b. Animal Control Donation

The Finance Director advised that as of today, there is \$11,863.92 of unspent donation/grant funding that can only be spent for the animal shelter; Greg Cave, ACO/Shelter Manager, has asked that a supplemental appropriation of \$6,000.00 be approved for the animal shelter. In closing, she noted that a private donation (from an individual) has been received totaling \$5,000.00 in December 2016; the private donate had a request included that showed how they'd like the aforementioned donated funds to be spent.

Greg Cave, ACO/Shelter Manager, was present and advised that today's request (for the Animal Shelter) is for:

- ✓ Additional dog crates (to assist with transports) [shelter staff & ACO's]
- ✓ Additional dog traps (used by ACO's)
- ✓ TV & radio system (to be installed at the facility [i.e. television will highlight shelter events/radio will play music to help keep the animals calm]

Total cost of above items is \$3,910.42

Additional funding totaling \$1,100.00 is being requested for the medical fund (i.e. spay/neuter & rescue transports), which will eliminate the need to utilize County funds. Due to the fact that most rescue organizations require animals to be spayed/neutered first, the requested funding will help the shelter get animals out to rescues a bit quicker so they can get adopted.

Comments from the Board:

- *Chairman Jackson: Questioned if all of today's funding being requested is donated funding; questioned day(s) the facility is busiest*

Mr. Cave advised that the private donor also support various rescue organizations, as well as the local animal shelter. In closing, he noted that Saturday is the busiest day at the facility.

➤ *Supervisor Weakley: Noted that the Shelter's 'Facebook page' brings a lot of visitors and attention to the facility*

The Finance Director also noted that \$366.80 has been received (from the State) for the locality's purchase of Animal Friendly license plates.

Supervisor Campbell moved the Board approve the supplemental appropriation request for the Animal Shelter funded by FY2017 donations in the amount of \$6,000.00, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

c. Supplemental Appropriation – Sheriff's Office

#1: The Finance Director advised that the Sheriff has requested a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$1,226.00 for a Justice Assistance Grant that was received.

Teresa Weaver, Office Manager, was present and advised that the aforementioned funds were used for the purchase of evidence equipment for the Sheriff's Department.

Supervisor Weakley moved the Board approve supplemental appropriation #17_02282017 (for the Sheriff's Office) totaling \$1,226.00, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

#2: The Finance Director advised that the Sheriff has requested an additional supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$520.20; this appropriation is the result of an invoice being paid by the Sheriff's Office and the E911 Office; a reimbursement from the vendor has been received for the Sheriff's Department.

Teresa Weaver, Office Manager, was present and advised that the aforementioned funds were spent on a maintenance support contract.

Supervisor Campbell moved the Board approve supplemental appropriation #18_0228207 (for the Sheriff's Office) totaling \$520.20, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

7. Minutes:

a. #5

Chairman Jackson called for corrections and/or approval of Minutes #5.

Supervisor Hoffman moved the Board approve Minutes #5 as presented, seconded by Supervisor McGhee. *Ayes: Jackson, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Abstain: Weakley. Nays: (0).*

8. Old Business:

a. Executive Summary MC Network Improvements – Bill Wisegarver, ANS, Inc.: Bill Wisegarver, ANS, Inc., was present to provide input on the contract labor hours initiated by ANS, Inc., personnel to clean up County user accounts/passwords in an effort to improve the County's network system. The overall process actually resulted in the use of less time than originally anticipated, which resulted in the County being billed for a lesser amount. Items of concern that have been addressed include:

- ✓ Clean up of County user accounts/passwords
- ✓ County's back-up system had ceased to operate effective (since last summer)
- ✓ Back-up system has now been restarted and is fully operating correcting (will be monitored periodically)
- ✓ Revamped wireless back-up network (for the County)
- ✓ Major concern about Thrift Road Office
- ✓ Efforts initiated with Rappahannock Electric Co-op and the County Administrator were implemented to create an another link
- ✓ Existing radio system was found to be very old
- ✓ New radios have been put into place and will provide a ten-fold increase (400 Mbps)

Next Steps:

- ✓ Server
- ✓ Firewall
- ✓ Hard network switches
- ✓ Managed Services

Mr. Wisegarver advised that managed services by ANS, Inc., personnel will ensure that all equipment is operating appropriately; noted that the County's current server is at 'end of life', and will continue to demonstrate problems. He explained that the existing server is a

virtual platform – different server operations can be initiated on the single physical device. A quotation to upgrade the server has been provided to the County, along with costs to upgrade the firewall.

Comments from the Board:

- *Supervisor Weakley: Thanked Oliver Price of the Rappahannock Electric Co-op for his time and service to the County*
- *Supervisor McGhee: Questioned if it's safe to only have one physical server device, or if in-house storage is necessary*

Mr. Wisegarver advised that it was found that the County's back-up storage system appears to have failed (since last summer) and can be attributed to:

- ✓ The age of the current server
- ✓ The fact there is no daily monitoring services

In closing, he noted that 'managed services' would provide daily, hourly monitoring of all data, which would keep ANS, Inc. representatives aware of any type of back-up failure.

- *Supervisor Campbell: Referred to a past issue with bandwidth and the need to upgrade communications (in the County)*

It was noted that the issue of bandwidth dealt with the E911 Center.

Mr. Wisegarver explained that the radio system being discussed isn't the same what's used by the E911 Center, but deals with a 'point to point' system that houses County data.

- *Chairman Jackson: Noted that upgrades to the server and firewall are needed along with network switches*

The County Administrator advised that issues are still occurring at the EMS Office location, and asked Mr. Wisegarver to have ANS, Inc. technicians check the location.

Mr. Wisegarver noted that technicians did find that a UPS device was in place at the rescue squad building that possibly affects the radio links. He recommended that a new UPS device be installed at the location.

- *Supervisor McGhee: Questioned if a ten-fold increase had been noted*

The County Administrator advised there has been improved performance at the Thrift Road Office.

- *Supervisor Weakley: Questioned if any types of filters will be needed*

Mr. Wisegarver noted that (in his opinion), ANS, Inc. may be able to initiate some tweaking.

The County Administrator also noted that he and Robert Finks, Emergency Communications Director, have renegotiated the internet service provider agreement with LUMOS, Inc., (contract had expired). It was noted that internet speed will be increased (through LUMOS, Inc.) by at least ten fold, and will decrease the monthly cost (from \$2,100.00 to \$1,700.00-\$1,800.00). In closing, it's felt that this process will be beneficial to all locations/departments throughout the County.

Mr. Wisegarver advised that the initial price quote was rather old; comments had been made about looking at a new phone system for the County; the server is a critical component for a phone system. In closing, he noted that representatives tried to redesign the existing server to assist with the installation of a virtual server in the event the County decides to move forward with a new phone system.

b. Network Improvements – Discussion of Next Steps: The County Administrator referred to a quote for the work being discussed; also noted that a quote wasn't solicited for the network switches. Total associated costs noted in today's quotation is \$34,195.00 (i.e. firewall - \$6,000.00).

Comments from the Board:

- *Chairman Jackson: Suggested the County move forward with today's matter to either make the improvements or eliminate doing anything at all*
- *Supervisor Campbell: Asked for a recommendation from the County Administrator*

The County Administrator advised that the existing equipment is 10-12 years old, and feels it's time for the County to move forward with the recommended upgrades.

Supervisor Campbell moved the Board move forward with today's proposal.

- *Chairman Jackson: Noted that the Board can elect to wait to attain additional figures or administratively authorize the County Administrator to proceed*
- *Supervisor Weakley: Questioned how much the switches will cost or whether these will be included in another phase of work; asked that the motion also include the switches*

Supervisor Campbell amended his original motion and moved that the Board authorize Supervisor Weakley to work with the County Administrator and administratively move forward with network improvements, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

d. Update on Ordinance Codification Proposal: The County Administrator advised that one (1) proposal was received in response to the County's solicitation for bids, and advised that three (3) inquiries were received. The one (1) proposal was received from Municode, Inc., which is highly qualified to perform the work being requested. Review of the proposal is being initiated. He anticipates meeting with the County Attorney and Finance Director within the coming weeks. He suggested a representative from the firm be asked to attend a meeting in order for the County to negotiate a price for the necessary work. A copy of the proposal and scope of work was provided to the Board for review and advisement.

Comments from the Board:

- Supervisor Hoffman: Questioned if Municode, Inc. is capable of performing the codification work being requested (by the County)

The County Administrator advised that he's familiar with a company called General Code Publishers, Inc., that also performs codification work. Also noted that Municode, Inc. bought out the municipal codification portion of Lexis-Nexis, Inc.

The County Attorney advised that Municode, Inc., performed codification work for Orange and Culpeper Counties.

- *Supervisor Weakley: Noted that (in his opinion) Culpeper County's codification system is searchable (i.e. work performed by Municode, Inc.)*

The County Administrator noted there are funds within the FY2017 budget for the codification process; noted the need to assess whether the County can negotiate a price. Noted that he was uncertain as to why the other inquiries didn't follow through with providing a proposal. In closing, he advised that a recommendation will be provided to the Board within the coming weeks.

County Website: The County Administrator advised that an .RFP is being advertised for work on the County website (i.e. regional and local newspaper). Noted that an .RFP was provided to the Board at a prior meeting for review/advisement. Also noted that the .RFP is being sent to a number of potential respondent, and that a packet will be forwarded to Civic Plus, Inc., and other interested parties.

The County Attorney noted that the Madison County Planning Commission has received much feedback from the citizens regarding the County's existing website and the need for improvement.

9. New Business:

a. Broadband Committee Request – Supervisor Weakley: Supervisor Weakley advised that Ren LeVally, Chair of the Broadband Committee was unable to attend today's meeting. The Committee has met over the past few months (Pro Madison initiated the discussions on broadband). An initial on-line survey was hosted by the committee to ask folks provide input; on-line survey only yielded about 200 participants and revealed very little information.

Highlights from recent meetings focused on:

- Discussions about rural development
- Funding mechanisms
- Discovered CIT (Center of Information & Technology) [to partner on broadband initiatives]
- The initial map used to attain funding for broadband services
- The fact that the State's map is 'flawed' (i.e. areas shown as receiving broadband coverage) and **are** inaccurate

Additionally:

- A foundational piece has been derived that will call for a detailed survey to be completed
- Survey results will be forwarded to CIT for assessment/analysis
- An .RFP can be drafted (based on survey results)

The Broadband Committee has established June 2017 as a target date to bring forth a proposal to the Madison County Board of Supervisors, and an online survey will be launched to attain input from the citizens of Madison County.

Supervisor Weakley also noted that today's proposed funding request should be the only proposal' also feels that a 'pilot program' may be assessed, and noted there have been no stakeholder meetings to date (with REC or other agencies). Representatives from VABB were at the last committee meeting to express some interest. In closing, he noted there appears to be great interest from the public for internet service in the County.

The proposed online survey will also consist of:

- A mass mailing (i.e. postcard)
- A link to the online survey site
- Instructions for the survey will be provided to participants
- Paper copies can be dropped off at various locations (i.e. local library, Yoder's, etc.)
- Paper copies will be retrieved by members of the Broadband Committee and uploaded into a data base along with electronic surveys done online

Today's funding request is NTE \$3,100.00 with the following breakdown:

Feels that today's proposed funding should be the only costs until a proposal has been prepared for review by the Madison County Board of Supervisors, based on input attained from the citizens regarding broadband concerns. Feels that 'pilot program's may be assessed – there have been no stakeholder meetings to date (i.e. REC, other agencies) – representatives from VABB were at the last committee meeting to express interest; feels there is interest from the public. Total amount, NTE, being requested by the Broadband Committee is \$3,100.00; breakdown of costs is actually at \$2,950.00; any remaining funding may be used to cover other miscellaneous items:

Postcards & mailing fees:	\$2,000.00 (for 5,800 county households)
Paper surveys:	\$ 200.00 (1,500)
Flyers:	\$ 650.00 (2,500 flyers [to be developed with assistance from CIT])
Collection boxes:	\$ 100.00 (eight [8])
Total:	\$2,950.00 Total

It was also noted that no funding prior funding requests have been made from the committee; any excess funding will may be needed to cover unforeseen items. In closing, he noted that the Broadband Committee consists of a widespread and diversified group of individuals who all share a common goal. He noted that the survey findings will be provided for review by the Board in April/May 2017. In closing, he recommended the Board of Supervisors approve today's funding request presented by the Broadband Committee, NTE \$3,100.00 to cover aforementioned expenses.

Comments from the Board:

- *Supervisor Campbell: Noted that the funding request (NTE \$3,100.00) is for starting costs to gather information; advised of his desire to have a plan in place to assess where the process is going and what's hoped to be achieved at the end; noted that information provided from VABB showed a large coverage area (in the County) that isn't correct; verbalized the importance of having internet service throughout the locality; questioned if the citizens are searching for something that doesn't and/or will never exist in the County at any time in the near future*

Supervisor Weakley provided highlights on:

- The manner in which citizens will be asked to provide information (i.e. survey); and noted that:
 - VABB is following appropriate guidelines;
 - Feels the issue with the federal government (FCC) will remain until the coverage area map is fixed
 - Committee desires to move forward with the survey in an effort to assess available grant funding
 - Noted that the survey mimics one provided by the rural cooperatives in the past
 - Hopes the Broadband Committee will receive good community participation/input
 - Feels the costs would be greater if CIT wasn't offering services
 - Feels the requested funding will determine whether the committee will look forward towards developing a proposal (i.e. pilot program, etc.)
- *Chairman Jackson: Noted that the Broadband Committee has already begun gathering some information; feels the committee involves a good group of people*

Supervisor Campbell moved the Board accept the funding recommendation provided by Supervisor Weakley for the Madison Broadband Committee, NTE \$3,100.00, seconded by Supervisor McGhee.

- *Supervisor McGhee: Verbalized concerns that the initial effort (initiated by the Broadband Committee) didn't yield strong feedback*
- *Supervisor Weakley: Noted that the proposed survey will over give citizens the ability to go online or complete a paper copy*
- *Supervisor Campbell: Feels today's request is a small amount to pay in order to make an effort on behalf of the citizens*

Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).

10. Public Comment:

Chairman Jackson opened the floor for public comment. With no public comment being brought forth, the session was closed.

11. Closed Session (if necessary): None.**12. Information/Correspondence:** None.**13. Adjournment:**

With no further action being required, on motion of Supervisor Campbell, seconded by Supervisor Hoffman, Chairman Jackson adjourned tonight's meeting. *Ayes: Jackson, Weakley, Campbell, McGhee, Hoffman. Nays: (0).*

R. Clay Jackson, Chairman
Madison County Board of Supervisors

Clerk of the Board of the Madison County Board of Supervisors

Adopted on: March 14, 2017

Copies: R. Clay Jackson, Jonathon Weakley, Robert Campbell, Kevin McGhee, Charlotte Hoffman, V. R. Shackelford, III,
Constitutional Officers



Agenda
Regular Meeting
Madison County Board of Supervisors
Tuesday, February 28, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.
County Administration Building, Auditorium
414 N. Main Street, Madison, Virginia 22727

**Call to Order****Pledge of Allegiance & Moment of Silence**

1. Determine Presence of a Quorum / Adopt agenda
2. Public Comment
3. Constitutional Officers
4. County Departments
 - a. EMS – Analysis of Response Times – Lewis Jenkins, EMS Director
 - b. **Purchase of Ambulance – Timeline & Contract**
5. Committees or Organizations
6. Finance:
 - a. February 2017 Claims
 - b. Animal Control Donation
 - c. **Supplemental Appropriations – Sheriff's Department**
7. Minutes:
 - a. #5
8. New Business:
 - a. Executive Summary MC Network Improvements – Bill Wisegarver, ANS
 - b. Network Improvements – Discussion of Next Steps
 - c. Update on Ordinance Codification Proposal
9. Old Business:
 - a. **Broadband Committee Request – Supervisor Weakley**
10. Public Comment
11. Closed Session (if necessary)
12. Information/Correspondence (if any)
13. Adjournment

*AMENDMENTS NOTED IN ROYAL BLUE WITH **YELLOW HIGHLIGHT***